

Inspire Policy Making with Territorial Evidence

# ANNEX VI //

# HERIWELL – Cultural Heritage as a Source of Societal Well-being in European Regions

Annex VI to chapter 6 Controversial heritage: a focus on contested and neglected heritage

Final report // June 2022

This Annex VI is conducted within the framework of the ESPON 2020 Cooperation Programme, partly financed by the European Regional Development Fund.

The ESPON EGTC is the Single Beneficiary of the ESPON 2020 Cooperation Programme. The Single Operation within the programme is implemented by the ESPON EGTC and co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund, the EU Member States, the United Kingdom and the Partner States, Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway and Switzerland.

This delivery does not necessarily reflect the opinions of members of the ESPON 2020 Monitoring Committee.

#### Coordination

Manuela Samek Lodovici (Project manager), Istituto per la Ricerca Sociale (IT) Cristina Vasilescu (Deputy project manager), Istituto per la Ricerca Sociale (IT)

#### Outreach

Flavia Barca (outreach manager, ACUME); Simone Asciamprener and Cristina Vasilescu (IRS)

#### **Authors**

Manuela Samek Lodovici, Cristina Vasilescu, Erica Melloni, Alessandra Crippa, Serena Drufuca, Emma Paladino, Monica Patrizio, Flavia Pesce, Istituto per la Ricerca Sociale (IT); Andreas Wiesand, Victoria Ateca-Amestoy, ERICarts (DE); Pietro Valentino, Fabio Bacchini, Roberto Iannaccone, Associazione Per Economia Della Cultura (IT); Flavia Barca, ACUME – subcontractor (IT)

#### **HERIWELL Case study experts**

V. Ateca-Amestoy (Arquitectura de la Memoria case study, Spain), N. von Breska Ficović (ECoC Mons 2015: Long-term vision and strategy for cultural heritage, Belgium), D. Haselbach (Weimar memories, Germany), K. Jagodzińska, J. Sanetra-Szeliga, J. Purchla (How heritage changes environment and communities: Podgórze Museum in Kraków, Poland), E. Melloni (Mann Pilot case study, Italy), A. Ormston (Village Design Statement and Collaborative Town Centre Health Check programmes, Ireland), P. Petrová (The Czech Blueprint Handicraft, Czechia), G.B. Ween (Sámi cultural institutions as a source of societal well-being in Norway, Norway)

#### **HERIWELL Team of Country experts**

V. Ateca-Amestoy (ES), C. Ballé (FR), G. Barbaro-Sant (MT), N. von Breska Ficović (BE), K. Chainoglou (EL and CY), A. Cicerchia (IT), I. Conde (PT), C. Croitoru (RO), K. J. Borowiecki (DK), E. Kaaber (IS), O. Göbel (DE and LU), A. Hennius (SE), D. Haselbach (CH and LI), P. Inkei (HU), P. Koleva (BG), P. Mangset (NO), S. Asikainen (FI), A. Ormston (IE and UK), J. Purchla (PL), V. Ratzenböck (AU), Z. Révészová (SK), R. Siil (EE), C. Smithuijsen (NL), A. Srakar (SI), B. Tjarve (LT and LV), J. Tomanova (CZ), A. Uzelac (HR)

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all participants who attended HERIWELL workshops and the deliberative event.

### **Advisory group**

Project Support Team: Christin W. Krohn – Institute of Transport Economics (NO); Irene Hadjisavva-Adam – Ministry of Interior (CY); Paul Mahringer – Federal Monuments Authority of Austria (AT); Maciej Hofman – DG CULTURE, European Commission

Terje Nypan - ESPON Scientific Advisory Panel; Christine Vanhoutte - Flanders Heritage Agency (BE), external observer

ESPON EGTC: Zintis Hermansons (project expert), Caroline Clause (financial expert)

## Information on ESPON and its projects can be found at www.espon.eu.

The website provides the opportunity to download and examine the most recent documents produced by finalised and ongoing ESPON projects.

ISBN: 978-2-919816-64-4

### © ESPON, 2020

Layout and graphic design by BGRAPHIC, Denmark

Printing, reproduction or quotation is authorised provided the source is acknowledged and a copy is forwarded to the ESPON EGTC in Luxembourg.

Contact: info@espon.eu



# ANNEX VI //

# HERIWELL – Cultural Heritage as a Source of Societal Well-being in European Regions

Annex VI to chapter 6 Controversial heritage: a focus on contested and neglected heritage

Final report // June 2022

# **Table of contents**

1	Introduction to the Content	6
2	Questionnaire for the Mapping of Contested or Neglected Cultural Heritage (CH)	
3	Results of the mapping	ç

# **List of tables**

	contested or severely neglected CH collected by HERIWELL Experts
· ·	ed Programme: Current state of threatened heritage sites in Europe

# 1 Introduction to the Content

Investigating **societal well-being impacts** of cultural heritage (CH) in the ESPON HERIWELL study required to also look at **potentially dissonant or "bad-being" effects**, including cases, where key European values are at stake. Inter alia, this has been done in Chapter 6 of the Report via an evaluation of representative population surveys carried out with YouGov in 8 European countries, and an analysis of cases of contested/dissonant/endangered CH sites and manifestations collected in 18 ESPON countries.

Opposing heritage interpretations of stakeholders and in the larger public became apparent in the **HERIWELL population survey** results. Chapters 6.2 and 6.3 of the Report identified, at least, three problematic areas:

- The exploitation of cultural heritage may result in economic benefits, but can also endanger what should be protected. Over 60% of the respondents perceive potential dangers to CH caused by "Over-Tourism".
- The ambivalent capacity of CH to foster social cohesion, particularly as regards integrating migrants
  or minorities, as exemplified by conflicts around objects from colonial times or with the Sámi minority.
  And: 45% of the respondents fear that "some cultural traditions can create conflicts or hinder the
  integration of migrants".
- Changing meanings or interpretations of heritage, where once cherished monuments may now be rejected.

The collection of **contemporary examples of CH-related conflicts or severely neglected TCH, ICH and "mixed" CH** cases has been the main HERIWELL instrument to enable a systematic assessment of their background as well as of their impacts and potential remedies regarding SWB. The evaluation of the 48 collected cases is provided in Chapter 6.3 of the Report. This exercise has been realised via a questionnaire addressed to the HERIWELL country experts (chapter 1.1 in this ANNEX).

The subsequent table 3.1 summarizes the evaluation of this case-collection. Inter alia, it reveals problematic and potentially negative effects with regard to the main **HERIWELL dimensions of Societal Well-being** concerning:

- An impaired quality of life (including as regards e.g., education and skills; health; human rights, freedom of expression; individual satisfaction; quality and sustainability of environment);
- Negative effects on societal cohesion as the most problematic field (including as regards e.g., community engagement; equal opportunities; governance of heritage; integration of minorities, migrants or disadvantaged groups; transitional justice in CH conflicts or restitution issues; trust in communities or institutions); and
- **Downgraded material conditions** (including as regards e.g., housing, price of land; CH-related jobs and earnings; physical accessibility of CH monuments and sites...).

As explained in Chapter 6, many **studies and conference documents** were evaluated for HERIWELL; the two following examples demonstrate the scope and methods of related research and practice consulted for the project:

- The 7 Most Endangered programme, managed by Europa Nostra with partners and supported by
  the EU, identifies endangered monuments and heritage sites and tries to mobilise partners to help
  safeguarding, restoring or financially sustaining these examples of tangible CH in Europe. Table 3.2
  identifies the 43 threatened monuments and heritage sites from 26 countries selected between 2013
  and 2021.
- Research conducted by Petra Potz and Nils Scheffler helped preparing the European Forum
  Integrated Approaches to the Dissonant Heritage in Europe organised February 2022 by the
  German Federal Ministry for Housing, Urban Development and Building and partners in connection
  with EU's Urban Agenda. Despite a more reduced focus of this study on "political" or military heritage,
  its evaluation corresponds in many aspects to the HERIWELL findings in Chapter 6, which is why an
  excerpt is included in this annex (see Document 4).

# 2 Questionnaire for the Mapping of Contested or Neglected Cultural Heritage (CH)

NAME: COUNTRY:

Toppled or damaged statues of colonialist heroes in Belgium and the UK; Franco's "Valle de los Caidos" memorial built by prisoners in Spain; unclear provenance of objects in European museums; conflicts around Soviet monuments in the Baltic states; cultural traditions excluding women or migrants; restoration works blocked by economic or political interests; looted sites in occupied Cyprus; festivities in conflict with human or animal rights; restitution issues regarding artworks seized by Nazis in Austria, Germany and the Netherlands; debates on "Fascist architecture" in Italy etc. etc.

Examples that show in a nutshell: The background of cultural heritage is increasingly under scrutiny, its values are sometimes contested, its protection depends on political or societal choices. Clearly, highlighting well-being impacts of cultural heritage – i.e. the main aim of the ESPON HERIWELL project – requires considering also issues of potential "bad-being" effects, including cases, where key European values are at stake.

Therefore, the HERIWELL research team wants to explore issues of contested or neglected CH further and plans to use the results of this initial European mapping for an analysis of recent debates or incidents in that respect. For a realistic mapping, you are asked to contribute relevant examples that can stand for the most important CH-related conflicts, historical burdens or forms of neglect in your country as well as for their societal impacts. If you want to point to a general problem, please highlight it with a local case that has more recently gained public attention. In short: Your input is crucial for the success of HERIWELL and this form should facilitate a quick answer, because we often use categories you are familiar with from the first template of 2020.

NOTE: The below template should be used to report only on a single (localised) issue or conflict, so if you plan to contribute more than one case, please copy the form. In fact, we would be grateful if you could report at least on one example concerning tangible CH and, if relevant, one for intangible CH (possibly also a "mixed" one). You can report on up to 5 examples for each of these categories. Please select the most important and recent ones and add a note at the end, if similar examples of contested or neglected cultural heritage exist in your country. However, recent problems caused for CH by COVID-19 should NOT be reported in this template.

In the unlikely event that there are no relevant issues of contested or neglected CH to report on in your country, please inform the HERIWELL Team.

Please return the file until July 15, 2021 to: <a href="mailto:cvasilescu@irsonline.it">cvasilescu@irsonline.it</a>, copy <a href="mailto:wiesand@ericarts.org">wiesand@ericarts.org</a>

A. Issue or case to report on	Please describe the contested or neglected CH issue in one sentence or in keywords (if it is a single case, add the exact location under B.):  •
B. Local or regional example highlighting a broad issue mentioned above	Case example (a specific CH object, institution, intangible CH or tradition):  Where is it located (city, town, county, if available with NUTS 2 code):
C. Evidence (Internet, press, policy debates)	Has the issue or case been reported on (preferably add a Web-link)?
D. Background of the above issue / case	Please select what is relevant for the issue / case (one or more):  ☐ historical burdens (e.g. restitution claims or debates);  ☐ political or military conflicts;  ☐ financing; budget problems;  ☐ neglect, caused e.g. by insufficient CH protection rules;  ☐ discrimination of social groups; lack of respect for minorities' CH;  ☐ lack of conservation specialists or facilities  ☐ Other (please specify):

E. Is the issue listed	Please select the territorial scope of relevance:
under A. relevant only	☐ trans-national or cross-border;
locally or in a larger territory?	☐ generall in my country;
territory:	□ regional;
	□ smaller rural area;
	□ urban area, city
F. Type of contested /	Please select the CH type where the issue is most relevant (one or more):
neglected heritage PLEASE NOTE: This is a	General CH issues (e.g. policies; legislation);
non-exhaustive list. It	☐ Museums, collections;
includes domains that are	☐ Libraries and archives;
most commonly associated	☐ Industrial heritage (including design);
with Cultural Heritage (CH).	<ul> <li>Monuments / individual protected buildings;</li> <li>Architectural ensembles (in cities, towns etc.);</li> </ul>
Use the last button, if the issue focuses on other	Religious buildings and artefacts;
domains of CH that are not	☐ Specific artistic works inherited from the past (e.g. paintings, movies);
covered in the current list.	□ Landscape, natural and underwater heritage;
	□ Archaeological sites;
	☐ Intangible heritage (e.g. historical events, rituals, traditional crafts);
	☐ Other types of CH (e.g. audio-visual or digital heritage), please explain:
G. To your knowledge:	Please select the most important <u>negative</u> influences on:
Has societal well-being	□Accessibility of heritage (physical, digital)
been affected by the above issue?	□Community engagement, volunteering and charitable giving
above issue:	□Cultural diversity
	□Cultural participation
	□Education and skills, including digitization and digital skills
	□Equal opportunities
	☐Governance of heritage (public and private), including risk management
	□ Health
	Housing, price of land
	☐Human rights, freedom of expression ☐Individual satisfaction
	□Integration and empowerment of minorities, migrants or disadvantaged groups;
	other issues related to social inclusion
	□Jobs and earnings (e.g., cultural professions; tourism and others)
	□Knowledge and research
	□Quality and sustainability of environment
	□Transitional justice (e.g., CH-related conflicts; restitution issues)
	□Trust (in communities, institutions…)
	□Other (please specify):
	And which of the HERIWELL target groups were most affected in this case:
	□Residents (local/regional)
	□Tourists (heritage/cultural tourism) □Migrants or Minorities
	☐Heritage/cultural professionals
	☐Other, e.g., population at large (please specify):
H. Are measures or	Please select what is relevant (one or more):
remedies in place or	□ No official reactions/remedies so far;
planned to address the	□ media reports; Internet campaigns, etc.;
issue?	□ protests/citizens initiatives assume responsibility/private sponsoring;
	$\square$ expert investigations, documentation or research (incl. by new bodies);
	☐ debates in parliaments or regional/city councils;
	☐ increased public finances or monetary compensation;
	□ restoration of destroyed or neglected heritage;
	new laws or protection rules (including for specific CH objects);
	□ restitution of CH (i.e., returned artefacts);
	☐ judicial decisions and out-of-court or mediation/arbitration settlements;
	☐ involvement of international bodies (e.g. UNESCO) or courts (e.g. ECHR);
I. Additional info, e.g. on	☐ Other (please specify):
similar or different cases	
in your country (where?),	
as well as eventual	
comments you want to	
add	

# 3 Results of the mapping

This chapter presents the findings of the mapping undertaken within this task, as detailed in the previous section.

## Table 3.1. Overview of cases of contested or severely neglected CH collected by HERIWELL Experts 2021

Main Issues: HB = Historical burdens (e.g., restitution claims / debates); PM = Political / military conflicts; FB = Financing; budget issues; NR = Neglect, caused e.g., by insufficient CH protection rules; DR = Discrimination of social groups; lack of respect for minorities' cultural heritage; CS = Lack of conservation specialists or facilities; OI = Other issues

Groups (negatively affected): R = Residents; T = Tourists; M = Migrants or Minorities; P = CH professionals; O = Other

Negative effects on SWB (main HERIWELL categories): QoL = Quality of Life dimensions; SC = Societal cohesion; MC = Material conditions (XX = strong; X = some effects)

Measures or remedies to address the cases/issues (in place or planned): No = No official reactions/remedies so far; M = Media reports; Internet campaigns, etc.; P = Protests / citizens initiatives assume responsibility / private sponsoring; E = Expert investigations, documentation or research; D = Debates in parliaments or regional/city councils; F = public financing increased or budgetary adjustments; R = Restoration of destroyed or neglected heritage; L = Legal remedies (new laws or protection rules, including for specific CH objects); C = Compensation (incl. restitution of CH artefacts); J = Judicial decisions and out-of-court or mediation/arbitration; I = Involvement of international bodies/courts; O = Other remedies

Country	Location	СН	Important case topics (abridged)		N	/lain	Iss	ues				egativ				ecte oup			Mea	sure	es/r	eme	dies	s to a	ıddre	ess i	ssue	s
		Type	. , , , ,	НВ	PM	FB	NR	DR	cs	OI	QoL	SC	MC	R	T	М	P	1 0	N	ΙP	Е	D	F	R L	. C	J	1	0
	Vienna	<b>TCH</b>	Dr Karl Lueger monument challenged	X				X			Χ	XX	X			X		X	X	X	X	X						
Austria	Innsbruck & many towns	ICH	Conflict around traditional carolling of the partly black-faced Three Wise Men					X				XX				X		)	x x	X								
	Vienna	ICH	Annual Vienna WKR Ball in dispute	X								Χ						X	X	X	X							X
Bulgaria	Buzludzha	<b>TCH</b>	Neglected monument from socialist era	X		X					XX	Χ	X		X		X		X	X	X			X				
Cyprus	North Cyprus	<b>MCH</b>	Looted CH objects/profaned religious sites etc.	X	X					X	XX	XX	XX	X	X	X	X		X	X	X					X	X	
Denmark	Copenhagen	<b>TCH</b>	Demolition of historical slaughterhouses				X			X	Χ		X		X					X	X							
	Lapland	<b>MCH</b>	Colliding interests on Saami landscape		X			X				Χ				X				X	X	X				X		
Finland	Lapland	<b>TCH</b>	Remains of German military in Finland		X					X	X	Χ						X			X							
Tillaliu	Lapland	ICH	Exclusive right for the Saami minority to maintain and develop their CH crafts?				X	X				XX		X		X					X	X		>	(			
	08600-Chooz	тсн	A nuclear plant, industrial heritage built by a famous architect, to be dismantled				X				XX	X		X			X			X				X				
	Herlincourt	<b>TCH</b>	Many churches in rural areas in peril	X		X	X				XX	XX	X	X	X		X		X	X		X		X				
	Paris	<b>TCH</b>	Benin Bronzes: returned to Nigeria?	X								XX			X		X		Х	X	X	X		)	X	X	X	
France	Pleuville	TCH	Wind turbines facing CH monument							X	Χ	XX		X	X				Х	X	X	X		)	(	X		
	Bordeaux	ICH	Rural France: Rooster crowing protected							X	Χ	XX		X					X	X		X		)	(	X		
	Provence	ICH	Hunting practices (la chasse à la glu)							X	XX	XX		X					X	X		X		)	(		X	
	Paris	<b>MCH</b>	Colbert monument vandalised	X							Χ	XX		X		X			X	X	X	X				X	X	
	Westhoffen	<b>MCH</b>	Jewish graves profaned					X			XX	XX		X		X			X	X		X				X		
	Berlin	тсн	The new Humboldt Forum and its partly contested collections hotly debated	X		X				X	XX	XX	X	X	X		X	X	Х	X	X	X		X	X		X	
Germany	Cologne, Duesseldorf	ICH	Rhenish Carnival: concerns about commercialisation and discrimination					X		X	XX	XX		X	X				X	X		X	X					
	Nuremberg	<b>TCH</b>	A new future for sites of NS party rallies?	X			X				XX	XX		X			X		X	X	X	X	X					
Hungary	Budapest	ICH	Need to re-instate National CH Office				X			X	XX	Χ					X					X		>	(			X

	Budapest	TCH	Restoration of Roman palace long overdue	X		X						X	XX		X		X				X		X	X				
	Budapest TCH Clash of values: Buda Castle restoration		X		X						XX		X	X		X				X	X		2	X				
	Budapest	<b>TCH</b>	Neglect: former Stock exchange building			X						Х	X		X		7	X				X						
	Many towns in HU	МСН	Impacts of relocated / extinct minorities to the spirit of a place rarely recognised					X				XX		X			X	X	(									
	HU museums	TCH	Fees for photos w. extinct copyright justified?			X						X					X	X	(				X					
Italy	Sapri	TCH	Statue of Spigolatrice di Sapri "sexist"?					X				X						X	X									X
Latvia	Riga	тсн	Site: Liberators of Soviet Latvia and Riga from the German Fascist Invaders in dispute		X						XX	XX		X		X			X	X				X				X
Latvia	Riga	тсн	Access to former KGB building enabled mainly through artistic interventions	X		X					XX	XX	XX	X	X				X	X			X					
Lithuania	Vilnius	TCH	Controversial monuments in Soviet style	X	X					X		XX		X		X			X	X	X	X		X				
Malta	Valletta	тсн	Popular rededication of the <i>Great Siege</i> Monument to Daphne Caruana Galizia		X						XX	XX		X	X		X		X					X			X	X
Walla	Valetta	MCH	After decades of neglect: gentrification & business hype following the ECoC title		X		X		X	X	X	X		X	X		X		X	X								
Netherlands	Amsterdam	<b>TCH</b>	Popular Golden Coach – a propaganda tool?	X				X			XX	XX	X	X		X			X	X	X	X		X				
Portugal	Lisbon	<b>TCH</b>	Attack on statue of missionary priest A. Vieira								XX	X	X	X	X		X		X					X				
Portugai	Lisbon	<b>TCH</b>	Attack: Monument Padrão dos Descobrimentos	X							XX	Χ	Χ	X	X		X		X					X		X		
	Skároš	<b>TCH</b>	Neglect: Ruined countryside noble mansion	X		X						XX	XX	X			X	X	(									
	Nižná Slaná	TCH	Neglect: Etelka Huta mining heritage memento			X	X				XX	XX	X	X	X	X			X			X						
Slovakia	Gelnica	<b>TCH</b>	Spa Thursov - once a camp, now open for more		X	X	X				Χ	XX	Χ	X	X							X	X					
Olovania	Banská Bystrica	тсн	Copper Forge as a crossroads place	X		X					X	XX	X	X	X		X			X	X	X						
	East Slovakia	ICH	Deadlock: Lack of education in Roma language					X			XX	XX	X	X		X		X	(									
	El Escorial	<b>TCH</b>	General Franco's Valle de los Caídos memorial	X	X						Χ	Х						X	X	X	X	X			X	X		
Spain	Tordesillas (ES41)	ICH	Toro de la Vega: Disputed medieval bull tournament and festival (animal rights)	X						X	X			X					X			X				X	X	X
	Kivik SE 224	TCH	Very important Bronce Age mound collapsed			X					XX	X	X	X	X		X	X	( X									
Sweden	Stockholm	<b>TCH</b>	Canadian totem pole repatriated by museum	X				X			Χ	XX				X						X			X			
Sweden	North (SE3)	<b>MCH</b>	Complex CH relations with Saami minority	X	X			X			XX	XX	X			X					X	X			Х	X	X	X
	Uppsala	<b>MCH</b>	Claimed relics from Institute for Racial Biology	X	X			X			Χ	XX		X		X					X	X					X	
Switzerland	Neuchâtel, Zurich,Trogen	тсн	Protected Swiss cultural heritage originally financed with slavery profits	X				X		X	X	XX		X	X	X	X Z	X				X	X	X	X			
TO	TAL: 18 count	ries w	rith 47 cases (31 TCH; 8 ICH; 8 MCH)	23	11	13	9	14	1	13	36%	49%	15%	31	21	16 2	20 8	<b>B</b> 6	28	26	23	27	6 1	11 8	8 5	12	7	7

Source: HERIWELL Consortium based on the HERIWELL questionnaire responses, January 2022

Table 3.2. The 7 Most Endangered Programme: Current state of threatened heritage sites in Europe

Year	Country	Object/Site
	Albania	The Roman amphitheatre in Durrës
	Cyprus	The buffer zone in the historic centre of Nicosia
	France	Vauban's 17th century fortifications in Briançon
2013	Italy	The Renaissance monastery of San Benedetto Po
	Portugal	The 15th century monastery in Setúbal
	Romania	The historic mining landscape of Rosia Montana
	Turkey	The Armenian church of St. George in Mardin
	Belgium	The historic stage machinery of the Bourla theatre in Antwerp
	Greece	The neighbourhoods of Dolcho and Apozari in Kastoria
	Italy	The citadel of Alessandria
2014	Portugal	The carillons of the Mafra National Palace
	Romania	The wooden churches in southern Transylvania & northern Oltenia
	Russia	The Colour Row Settlement in Chernyakhovsk
	Serbia	The synagogue in Subotica
	Armenia	The Archaeological site of Ererouyk and the village of Ani Pemza
	Estonia	Patarei Sea Fortress in Tallinn
	Finland	Helsinki-Malmi Airport
2016	France	Colbert Swing Bridge in Dieppe
2010	Greece	The Kampos of Chios
	Italy	The Venice Lagoon
	Spain	The Convent of St. Anthony of Padua in Extremadura
	Turkey	The Ancient City of Hasankeyf and its surroundings
	Albania	The Post-Byzantine Churches in Voskopoja and Vithkuqi
	Austria	The Historic Centre of Vienna
	Bulgaria	The Buzludzha Monument
2018	Georgia	The David Gareji Monasteries and Hermitage
	Romania	The Constanta Casino
	Turkey	The Prinkipo Greek Orphanage on Princes' Islands
	United Kingdom	The Grimsby Ice Factory
	Albania	The National Theatre of Albania, Tirana
	Czech Republic	Castle Jezeří, Horní Jiřetín
	Italy	Castle of Sammezzano, Tuscany
2020	Norway	The Y-block, Government Quarter, Oslo
	Poland	Szombierki Power Plant, Bytom
	Serbia	Belgrade Fortress and its Surroundings
	Slovenia	The Plečnik Stadium, Ljubljana
	Austria	Achensee Steam Cog Railway, Tyrol
	Croatia	Historic Cemetery Complex of Mirogoj, Zagreb
	Greece	Five Southern Aegean Islands
2021	Italy	The Giusti Garden, Verona
	Kosovo	Dečani Monastery
		Central Post Office, Skopje
	Spain	San Juan de Socueva Chapel and Hermitage, Cantabria

Source: Extracted from the Europa Nostra site <a href="https://7mostendangered.eu/">https://7mostendangered.eu/</a>, January 2022 Legend (colours):

XXX = Site or monument successfully restored/saved or in good progress

YYY = Restoration project partly completed or in slow progress

ZZZ = Site/monument destroyed or with poor restoration progress

AAA = Site/monument listed only recently or no reports available



Co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund

Inspire Policy Making with Territorial Evidence

espon.eu in







# **ESPON 2020**

**ESPON EGTC** 11 Avenue John F. Kennedy L-1855 Luxembourg Grand Duchy of Luxembourg Phone: +352 20 600 280 Email: info@espon.eu

www.espon.eu

The ESPON EGTC is the Single Beneficiary of the ESPON 2020 Cooperation Programme. The Single Operation within the programme is implemented by the ESPON EGTC and co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund, the EU Member States, the United Kingdom and the Partner States, Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway and Switzerland.

## Disclaimer

This delivery does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the members of the ESPON 2020 Monitoring Committee.