

## ESPON 2013 Programme External Evaluation

Final Report - Annex



May 2011

**Loxley Consultancy Ltd**  
Loxley House  
24 Summerhill Grange  
Lindfield  
West Sussex  
RH16 1RQ  
United Kingdom

[www.loxleyconsultancy.com](http://www.loxleyconsultancy.com)

## Acknowledgements

This external evaluation of the ESPON 2013 Programme was commissioned by the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Infrastructures of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, Département de l'Aménagement du Territoire (DATer) in its capacity of Managing Authority of the ESPON 2013 programme.

Loxley Consultancy would like to thank all those who actively contributed through responses to Loxley Consultancy would like to thank all those who actively contributed through responses to questionnaires and interviews, making this challenging evaluation possible given its tight time schedule. These are:

- Representatives of the Member States and Partner States forming the programme Monitoring Committee;
- Representatives of the European Commission;
- The representative of the Managing Authority;
- Staff in the ESPON Coordination Unit,
- Staff working in the National Contact Points;
- Lead Partners and TPG partners of ESPON projects;
- Stakeholders; and
- Sounding Board members.

The authors of this report would especially like to express our gratitude to Peter Mehlbye (Director – ESPON Coordination Unit) and Mélanie Donjon (Financial Expert – ESPON Coordination Unit) and to Thimeo Eser (Managing Authority) for the helpful, flexible, dedicated and on-going guidance which they provided throughout the implementation of this evaluation.

### Copyright

Responsibility for the contents of this report lies with [Loxley Consultancy Ltd](#). Copyright and other intellectual or industrial property rights are owned by the Contracting Authority, which may use, publish, assign or transfer them as it sees fit, without limitation, except where industrial or intellectual property rights exist prior to the Contract being entered into.

Distribution or publication of all or part of this report requires prior authorisation from the Contracting Authority - "Ministry of Sustainable Development and Infrastructures of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, Département de l'Aménagement du Territoire (DATer)".

## Annexes

Annex 1	MC Members Questionnaire.....	4
Annex 2	ECP Questionnaire .....	7
Annex 3	LP Questionnaire Priority 1 .....	10
Annex 4	LP Questionnaire Priority 2 .....	13
Annex 5	TPG Questionnaire Priority 1.....	16
Annex 6	TPG Questionnaire Priority 2.....	19
Annex 7	Stakeholder Questionnaire.....	22
Annex 8	Sounding Board Questionnaire .....	25
Annex 9	Communication Plan Survey .....	28

## Annex 1 MC Members Questionnaire

### Introduction

Loxley Consultancy has been commissioned by the ESPON Managing Authority to carry out an external on-going evaluation of the programme to provide the CU/MA with a detailed appraisal that:

- ✓ confirms the achievements of the current stage of programme implementation;
- ✓ evaluates the relevance, the effectiveness, the efficiency and the consistency of the programme; and
- ✓ provides suggestions for improvement and useful information for the stakeholders towards implementation and management process of the programme.

This questionnaire is being circulated to all ESPON MC Members to gather information on programme operation. Your assistance in completing this questionnaire will facilitate our understanding of the programme from your perspective.

The questionnaire is intentionally free format and contains prompts to stimulate your contributions. Please add any other comments that you think will be useful in our review at the end.

If you have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us via email.

Thank you for your valued participation, we would be grateful if you could complete and return the questionnaire by **15<sup>th</sup> December 2010** by email to [mk@loxleyconsultancy.com](mailto:mk@loxleyconsultancy.com)

### Relevance of the Programme

1. What benefits do you expect to get from ESPON?
  
2. Do the other Member States want the same things, and what is the current state of Member State support for the aims of the programme?
  
3. The major aims of ESPON seem to be:
  - Harmonising and collecting data into a database, and creating other tools for use by ESPON participants and policy analysts in the Member States;
  - Evidence based territorial policy analysis input at EU, Member State, and lower levels; and
  - Networking between scientists and policy makers.Is this how you see things? Are there other important aims?
  
4. How will ESPON results be used in your country?
  
5. Has the programme adapted to changing economic conditions (i.e. are the objectives still relevant)? The current recession and its effects are having territorial impacts at least at

national level. Is the current programme focus adequate to provide timely input to policy issues that have arisen? Should it be?

6. What benefits do ESPON activities provide in your country that would not otherwise have been realised from national actions? Is collaboration between Member States essential to achieve the aims of the Territorial Agenda?

### **Effectiveness and Efficiency**

7. Is ESPON working as expected and do you think the programme is progressing at the desired rate?
8. What is your feeling about the way ESPON is managed and monitored by the CU/MA/MC and Commission?
9. Are the projects tendering and financial auditing arrangements appropriate, and are they working satisfactorily?
10. Is ESPON using the most appropriate instruments to achieve its actions? Would a shift towards Service Contracts increase effectiveness of projects and offer efficiencies?
11. Are there issues with commitment of available funding (e.g. due to difficulties in providing matching funds or other resources nationally in light of the Global Recession, or slow tendering processes)?
12. Is the research involvement provided by organisations in each Member State sufficiently diverse, or is it clustered around a small group of key players?
13. If responsibility for project monitoring and administration were more focused on a CU action with the MC providing oversight and approval could the programme respond quicker and more efficiently?
14. Are projects delivering results/outputs that meet expectations in the envisaged timeframes?
15. Can the MC adequately support projects when data collection issues emerge? Should actions be undertaken to create a forum for EU wide discussion of data collection?

16. Is the ESPON work plan evolving in an appropriate way and how should it continue in the future? Are the new types of activities such as KSS and on demand analyses effective? Is the balance between economic and social analysis correct?
17. Operation of the ESPON ECP network was enhanced in the later stages of ESPON 2006. How effective are the ECPs in supporting the ESPON process today and how effective are they in supporting your national initiatives?
18. How does your national ECP coordinate activity within the Member State and is there adequate transnational collaboration?

### **Interactions and Stakeholder Involvement**

19. In ESPON 2013 the MC has new tasks; such as providing input to Priority 2 and the CC. What effect do these changes from ESPON 2006 have on the MC workload? Are the new activities working as envisaged?
20. ESPON aims to address a wide audience (e.g. through Open Seminars and publications). Are the communication channels and seminars working as envisaged?
21. Stakeholders are central to advising the MC on applied research needs to support development of policy options. Is there adequate engagement with stakeholders?

### **22. Value for Money**

23. Are the targeted actions of the programme delivering sufficient direct return in relation to your national and inter-governmental regional policy concerns, in addition to EU and global questions?
24. Do you feel that ESPON offers good value for money as a support mechanism to Territorial Cohesion and the Territorial Agenda?

### **25. Finally**

26. What do you hope to see / learn from the results of this external evaluation?

### **Contact details, if we need to contact you for clarification:**

Project Name:

Telephone:

### **Thank you for participating in our survey.**

All answers given will be non-attributable and we will only ever be used at the aggregated level during the reporting process.

## Annex 2 ECP Questionnaire

### Introduction

Loxley Consultancy has been commissioned by the ESPON Managing Authority to carry out an external on-going evaluation of the programme to provide the CU/MA with a detailed appraisal that:

- ✓ confirms the achievements of the current stage of programme implementation;
- ✓ evaluates the relevance, the effectiveness, the efficiency and the consistency of the programme; and
- ✓ provides suggestions for improvement and useful information for the stakeholders towards implementation and management process of the programme.

This questionnaire is being circulated to all ESPON ECPs to gather information on programme operation. Your assistance in completing this questionnaire will facilitate our understanding of the programme from your perspective.

The questionnaire is intentionally free format and contains prompts to stimulate your contributions. Please add any other comments that you think will be useful in our review at the end.

If you have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us via email.

Thank you for your valued participation, we would be grateful if you could complete and return the questionnaire by **15<sup>th</sup> December 2010** by email to [mk@loxleyconsultancy.com](mailto:mk@loxleyconsultancy.com)

### Relevance of ECPs

1. How and when was your organisation nominated as an ESPON contact point and what, in your opinion, is the role of an ECP?
  
2. The major aims of ESPON seem to be:
  - Harmonising and collecting data into a database, and creating other tools for use by ESPON participants and policy analysts in the Member States;
  - Evidence based territorial policy analysis input at EU, Member State, and lower levels; and
  - Networking between scientists and policy makers.Is this how you see things? Are there other important aims?
  
3. A large number of TNA projects are envisaged, but only two exist so far. Are you in one of the two TNA projects and if not do you have plans to be involved in as TNA in the future?
  
4. The Transnational Networking Activities of INTERSTRAT and NORBA are recent initiatives aimed at activating transnational interest in ESPON by involvement of policy makers,

practitioners and scientists. If you are a member of one of these projects what activities are you performing? How will the TNA contribute towards capitalisation of ESPON results?

5. INTERSTRAT and NORBA comprise two geographic clusters. Are they capable of servicing the whole programme? Is there a need for further projects to complement them and complete coverage?
  
6. How has the role of an ECP changed between ESPON 2006 and ESPON 2013?

### **Effectiveness of ECP Network**

7. The ECP network is composed of different types of organisations (e.g. ministries and academic / research institutions). Do you have any view on the effectiveness of this mix?
  
8. How are the ECP members working together to add value to the Programme?
  
9. Each ECP is envisaged to promote a national network. What activities are you carrying out within your country (e.g. number of meetings, number of organisations reached) and describe how they contribute towards ESPON objectives?
  
10. Do you have any ideas for improving the effectiveness and efficiency of the ECP network?
  
11. ECPs are expected to comment on ESPON Transnational Project Group results. Are ECPs involved early enough in the process and is their involvement potentially too limited in respect to "blunder checks and misinterpretations of national policies"?
  
12. Has your ECP been approached to help identify partners for projects, or to help promote EOIs and Calls for Tender? How successful is this process in broadening the ESPON community to practitioners and scientists that would not otherwise be aware of ESPON?
  
13. Have you been asked to help on-going projects with data collection problems and were you able to support any such request?

14. ECPs are expected to work in close cooperation with the CU (e.g. in respect to Seminars). Have the CU/MC made special requests for support from ECPs, and if so please explain how effective the communication channels are?

### Capitalisation

15. The outcome of the ESPON programme is expected to support policy makers in respect of the territorial dimensions of EU policy. How is the ECP network helping to achieve that aim in your country and Europe wide?
16. What activities (events, publications, networking) has your ECP carried out to increase the awareness / visibility of ESPON and present ESPON results?
17. Has your ECP been involved in collaborative actions with other INTERREG programmes (e.g. INTERACT)? How important is such collaboration and what were the objectives?

### Finally

18. ESPON is now in its second programme. What do you see as its main contribution to the Territorial Agenda at EU level and in what way might it be developed in the future?

### Contact details, if we need to contact you for clarification:

Project Name:

Telephone:

### **Thank you for participating in our survey.**

All answers given will be non-attributable and we will only ever be used at the aggregated level during the reporting process.

## Annex 3 LP Questionnaire Priority 1

### Introduction

Loxley Consultancy has been commissioned by the ESPON Managing Authority to carry out an external on-going evaluation of the programme to provide the CU/MA with a detailed appraisal that:

- ✓ confirms the achievements of the current stage of programme implementation;
- ✓ evaluates the relevance, the effectiveness, the efficiency and the consistency of the programme; and
- ✓ provides suggestions for improvement and useful information for the stakeholders towards implementation and management process of the programme.

This questionnaire is being circulated to all ESPON Lead Partners to gather information on programme operation. Your assistance in completing this questionnaire will facilitate our understanding of the programme from your perspective.

The questionnaire is intentionally free format and contains prompts to stimulate your contributions. Please add any other comments that you think will be useful in our review at the end.

If you have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us via email.

Thank you for your valued participation, we would be grateful if you could complete and return the questionnaire by **15<sup>th</sup> December 2010** by email to [mk@loxleyconsultancy.com](mailto:mk@loxleyconsultancy.com)

### Tendering Process

1. How did you become aware of the call for expression of interest [Eoi]?
  
2. Was adequate tender information available at the Eoi stage?
  
3. Did you receive help or information about the tender process from national ESPON Contact Points (ECPs) or other organisations, and if so was it useful?
  
4. How could the tendering process be improved? Was it sufficiently transparent with appropriate feedback?

## Resources and Data Collection

5. Have you been able to access data for all countries as you initially intended?
6. Are ESPON Programme resources [e.g. the ESPON Database, data from ESPON2006 and data from other ESPON2013 projects, Data Navigator and the ECP network and its local contacts for data identification and collection] adequate for your project activity and are you satisfied with access to these resources? What other sources were used?
7. Is there a need for greater coordination of data collection at Member State (eg National Statistical Office) or EU level that ESPON should address? If so how?
8. What are/were your main problems with data collection and have they been overcome? Were you forced to change the methodology to a more qualitative approach?
9. What sensitivity analysis have you carried out to test the adequacy of the data used?

## Management, Networking and Communication

10. What is your perception of management and procedural aspects of the ESPON Programme and how could they be improved?
11. How often has your project met face to face and how many partners attended?
12. Briefly describe your project communications procedures? What process do you have for communicating within the project on drafts and quality control of data?
13. Is inter-project networking and communication effective, what do you see as the most effective action?
14. How could projects and ECP networks interact more efficiently and do they need to?
15. Has your project been asked to provide input for specific EU level reports (eg Territorial Agenda or 5th Cohesion report)?

16. Have you provided input to the MC, CC, DG Regio, or Stakeholders?

17. Have you provided any assistance to targeted analysis on demand projects in priority 2?

18. Has the sounding board system provided help and in what ways? How do you think it could be improved?

## Maps, Tools and Results

19. How will you ensure that indicators, maps, datasets, tools, or methodologies developed by your project can be maintained after the end of the project?

20. Are you discussing the TPG analyses with other experts and policy makers in Member States? Is the posting of reports and seminar papers on the ESPON web site adequate dissemination? Do you get useful feedback at ESPON seminars?

21. What publications / external presentations at conferences have your project made?

22. What value do you expect to receive from the ESPON research network after your project has finished?

## Finally

23. ESPON is now in its second programme. What do you see as its main contribution to territorial policy development at EU level and in what way might it be developed in the future?

## Contact details, if we need to contact you for clarification:

Project Name:

Telephone:

### **Thank you for participating in our survey.**

All answers given will be non-attributable and we will only ever be used at the aggregated level during the reporting process.

## Annex 4 LP Questionnaire Priority 2

### Introduction

Loxley Consultancy has been commissioned by the ESPON Managing Authority to carry out an external on-going evaluation of the programme to provide the CU/MA with a detailed appraisal that:

- ✓ confirms the achievements of the current stage of programme implementation;
- ✓ evaluates the relevance, the effectiveness, the efficiency and the consistency of the programme; and
- ✓ provides suggestions for improvement and useful information for the stakeholders towards implementation and management process of the programme.

This questionnaire is being circulated to all ESPON Lead Partners to gather information on programme operation. Your assistance in completing this questionnaire will facilitate our understanding of the programme from your perspective.

The questionnaire is intentionally free format and contains prompts to stimulate your contributions. Please add any other comments that you think will be useful in our review at the end.

If you have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us via email.

Thank you for your valued participation, we would be grateful if you could complete and return the questionnaire by **15<sup>th</sup> December 2010** by email to [mk@loxleyconsultancy.com](mailto:mk@loxleyconsultancy.com)

### Tendering Process

1. Priority 2 project development occurs in two stages. At the EOI stage only Stakeholders were eligible to respond. Do you feel this process generated sufficiently clear specifications for the subsequent Call for Tender?
2. How did you become aware of the call for tender?
3. Did you receive help or information about the tender process from national ESPON Contact Points (ECPs) or other organisations, and if so was it useful?
4. How could the tendering process be improved? Was it sufficiently transparent with appropriate feedback? Are you happy with procedural aspects of project selection and the two stage process for demand driven projects in Priority 2?

## Resources and Data Collection

5. The expectation for Priority 2 projects is that Stakeholders provide access to data. Was this the case or did you have to collect additional information? Have you been able to access data for all countries as you initially intended?
6. Was the stakeholder view of what data was required in fact correct? What are/were your main problems with data collection and have they been overcome? Were you forced to change the methodology to a more qualitative approach?
7. Are ESPON Programme resources [e.g. the ESPON Database, data from ESPON2006 and data from other ESPON2013 projects, Data Navigator and the ECP network and its local contacts for data identification and collection] adequate for your project activity and are you satisfied with access to these resources? What other sources were used?
8. Is there a need for greater coordination of data collection at Member State (eg National Statistical Office) or EU level that ESPON should address? If so how?
9. What sensitivity analysis have you carried out to test the adequacy of the data used?

## Management, Networking and Communication

10. What is your perception of management and procedural aspects of the ESPON Programme and how could they be improved?
11. How often has your project met face to face and how many partners attended? Have the Stakeholders been actively involved throughout the project and are you able to meet their expectations?
12. Briefly describe your project communications procedures? What process do you have for communicating within the project on drafts and quality control of data?
13. Is inter-project networking and communication effective, what do you see as the most effective action?
14. How could projects and ECP networks interact more efficiently and do they need to?

15. Has your project been asked to provide input for specific EU level reports (eg Territorial Agenda or 5th Cohesion report)?

16. Have you provided input to the MC, CC, DG Regio, or Stakeholders?

17. Have you provided any assistance to applied research projects in priority 1? Did the projects in priority 1 working on your theme provide useful input?

### Maps, Tools and Results

18. How will you ensure that indicators, maps, datasets, tools, or methodologies developed by your project can be maintained after the end of the project?

19. Are you discussing the TPG analyses with other experts and policy makers in Member States? Is the posting of reports and seminar papers on the ESPON web site adequate dissemination? Do you get useful feedback at ESPON seminars?

20. What publications / external presentations at conferences have your project made?

21. What value do you expect to receive from the ESPON research network after your project has finished?

### Finally

22. ESPON is now in its second programme. What do you see as its main contribution to territorial policy development at EU level and in what way might it be developed in the future?

### Contact details, if we need to contact you for clarification:

Project Name:

Telephone:

### Thank you for participating in our survey.

All answers given will be non-attributable and we will only ever be used at the aggregated level during the reporting process.

## Annex 5 TPG Questionnaire Priority 1

### Introduction

Loxley Consultancy has been commissioned by the ESPON Managing Authority to carry out an external on-going evaluation of the programme to provide the CU/MA with a detailed appraisal that:

- ✓ confirms the achievements of the current stage of programme implementation;
- ✓ evaluates the relevance, the effectiveness, the efficiency and the consistency of the programme; and
- ✓ provides suggestions for improvement and useful information for the stakeholders towards implementation and management process of the programme.

This questionnaire is being circulated to all ESPON Project Partners to gather information on programme operation. Your assistance in completing this questionnaire will facilitate our understanding of the programme from your perspective.

The questionnaire is intentionally free format and contains prompts to stimulate your contributions. Please add any other comments that you think will be useful in our review at the end.

If you have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us via email.

Thank you for your valued participation, we would be grateful if you could complete and return the questionnaire by **17<sup>th</sup> December 2010** by email to [mk@loxleyconsultancy.com](mailto:mk@loxleyconsultancy.com)

### Resources and Data Collection

1. Have you been able to access data for all countries as you initially intended?
2. Are ESPON Programme resources [e.g. the ESPON Database, data from ESPON2006 and data from other ESPON2013 projects, Data Navigator and the ECP network and its local contacts for data identification and collection] adequate for your project activity and are you satisfied with access to these resources? What other sources were used?
3. Is there a need for greater coordination of data collection at Member State (eg National Statistical Office) or EU level that ESPON should address? If so how?
4. What are/were your main problems with data collection and have they been overcome? Were you forced to change the methodology to a more qualitative approach?

5. What sensitivity analysis have you carried out to test the adequacy of the data used?

### **Management, Networking and Communication**

6. What is your perception of management and procedural aspects of the ESPON Programme and how could they be improved?
7. How often has your project met face to face and how many partners attended?
8. Briefly describe your project communications procedures? What process do you have for communicating within the project on drafts and quality control of data?
9. Is inter-project networking and communication effective, what do you see as the most effective action?
10. How could projects and ECP networks interact more efficiently and do they need to?
11. Has your project been asked to provide input for specific EU level reports (eg Territorial Agenda or 5th Cohesion report)?
12. Have you provided input to the MC, CC, DG Regio, or Stakeholders?
13. Have you provided any assistance to targeted analysis on demand projects in priority 2?
14. Has the sounding board system provided help and in what ways? How do you think it could be improved?

### **Maps, Tools and Results**

15. How will you ensure that indicators, maps, datasets, tools, or methodologies developed by your project can be maintained after the end of the project?

16. Are you discussing the TPG analyses with other experts and policy makers in Member States? Is the posting of reports and seminar papers on the ESPON web site adequate dissemination? Do you get useful feedback at ESPON seminars?

17. What publications / external presentations at conferences have your project made?

18. What value do you expect to receive from the ESPON research network after your project has finished?

### Finally

19. ESPON is now in its second programme. What do you see as its main contribution to territorial policy development at EU level and in what way might it be developed in the future?

### Contact details, if we need to contact you for clarification:

Project Name:

Telephone:

### Thank you for participating in our survey.

All answers given will be non-attributable and we will only ever be used at the aggregated level during the reporting process.

## Annex 6 TPG Questionnaire Priority 2

### Introduction

Loxley Consultancy has been commissioned by the ESPON Managing Authority to carry out an external on-going evaluation of the programme to provide the CU/MA with a detailed appraisal that:

- ✓ confirms the achievements of the current stage of programme implementation;
- ✓ evaluates the relevance, the effectiveness, the efficiency and the consistency of the programme; and
- ✓ provides suggestions for improvement and useful information for the stakeholders towards implementation and management process of the programme.

This questionnaire is being circulated to all ESPON Project Partners to gather information on programme operation. Your assistance in completing this questionnaire will facilitate our understanding of the programme from your perspective.

The questionnaire is intentionally free format and contains prompts to stimulate your contributions. Please add any other comments that you think will be useful in our review at the end.

If you have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us via email.

Thank you for your valued participation, we would be grateful if you could complete and return the questionnaire by **17<sup>th</sup> December 2010** by email to [mk@loxleyconsultancy.com](mailto:mk@loxleyconsultancy.com)

### Resources and Data Collection

1. The expectation for Priority 2 projects is that Stakeholders provide access to data. Was this the case or did you have to collect additional information? Have you been able to access data for all countries as you initially intended?
2. Was the stakeholder view of what data was required in fact correct? What are/were your main problems with data collection and have they been overcome? Were you forced to change the methodology to a more qualitative approach?
3. Are ESPON Programme resources [e.g. the ESPON Database, data from ESPON2006 and data from other ESPON2013 projects, Data Navigator and the ECP network and its local contacts for data identification and collection] adequate for your project activity and are you satisfied with access to these resources? What other sources were used?
4. Is there a need for greater coordination of data collection at Member State (eg National Statistical Office) or EU level that ESPON should address? If so how?

5. What sensitivity analysis have you carried out to test the adequacy of the data used?

### **Management, Networking and Communication**

6. What is your perception of management and procedural aspects of the ESPON Programme and how could they be improved?
7. How often has your project met face to face and how many partners attended? Have the Stakeholders been actively involved throughout the project and are you able to meet their expectations?
8. Briefly describe your project communications procedures? What process do you have for communicating within the project on drafts and quality control of data?
9. Is inter-project networking and communication effective, what do you see as the most effective action?
10. How could projects and ECP networks interact more efficiently and do they need to?
11. Has your project been asked to provide input for specific EU level reports (eg Territorial Agenda or 5th Cohesion report)?
12. Have you provided input to the MC, CC, DG Regio, or Stakeholders?
13. Have you provided any assistance to applied research projects in priority 1? Did the projects in priority 1 working on your theme provide useful input?

### **Maps, Tools and Results**

14. How will you ensure that indicators, maps, datasets, tools, or methodologies developed by your project can be maintained after the end of the project?
15. Are you discussing the TPG analyses with other experts and policy makers in Member States? Is the posting of reports and seminar papers on the ESPON web site adequate dissemination? Do you get useful feedback at ESPON seminars?

16. What publications / external presentations at conferences have your project made?

17. What value do you expect to receive from the ESPON research network after your project has finished?

### Finally

18. ESPON is now in its second programme. What do you see as its main contribution to territorial policy development at EU level and in what way might it be developed in the future?

### Contact details, if we need to contact you for clarification:

Project Name:

Telephone:

### **Thank you for participating in our survey.**

All answers given will be non-attributable and we will only ever be used at the aggregated level during the reporting process.

## Annex 7 Stakeholder Questionnaire

### Introduction

Loxley Consultancy has been commissioned by the ESPON Managing Authority to carry out an external on-going evaluation of the programme to provide the CU/MA with a detailed appraisal that:

- ✓ confirms the achievements of the current stage of programme implementation;
- ✓ evaluates the relevance, the effectiveness, the efficiency and the consistency of the programme; and
- ✓ provides suggestions for improvement and useful information for the stakeholders towards implementation and management process of the programme.

This questionnaire is being circulated to all ESPON Priority 2 Stakeholders to gather information on programme operation. Your assistance in completing this questionnaire will facilitate our understanding of the programme from your perspective.

The questionnaire is intentionally free format and contains prompts to stimulate your contributions. Please add any other comments that you think will be useful in our review at the end.

If you have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us via email.

Thank you for your valued participation, we would be grateful if you could complete and return the questionnaire by **17<sup>th</sup> December 2010** by email to [mk@loxleyconsultancy.com](mailto:mk@loxleyconsultancy.com)

### Relevance of the Programme

1. The ESPON 2013 programme has made strong involvement of Stakeholders a key component. In particular, Stakeholders are expected to benefit by:

- enhancing their understanding of the larger territorial context;
- making comparisons to other territories, regions and cities; and
- providing a European perspective to considerations on the development of their territories.

Is this your perception of the rationale for being involved in ESPON

2. The ESPON policy-demand approach involving policy makers and practitioners supported by targeted analysis aims to help stakeholders across the Community at European, national and regional levels. How is it improving policy making?

3. What added value benefits do you expect to get from participation in an ESPON project that would not be found at a national level?

## Effectiveness and Efficiency

4. How did you become aware of the ESPON programme and the possibility to suggest targeted analysis on a policy issue of relevance to you?
5. Targeted Analysis projects involve multiple stakeholders and follow the Lead Stakeholder principle. How did this work during at the EOI stage?
6. Is the two stage Priority 2 Targeted Analysis tendering process appropriate, and is it working satisfactorily?
7. How has stakeholder networking/interacting developed in the second stage? How are stakeholders directing the analysis?
8. How well is project operation (for Priority 2 Targeted Analysis) working?
9. Are the outputs/results from Targeted Analysis meeting your expectations and how are they being used?
10. How often do Stakeholders meet with the project partners to discuss project objectives needs and progress? Are they reliant upon stakeholders to provide data for the analysis?
11. Consultations with Stakeholders are expected to be carried out throughout the course of the ESPON programme (e.g. through questionnaires to support identification of Priority 1 Applied Research issues). Is this an adequate means of expressing stakeholder needs?
12. Are there enough opportunities for Stakeholders to engage with the ESPON programme to exchange ideas on policy issues? Do the ECP network, ESPON Newsletter and Seminar programme meet your needs?

## Finally

13. Overall, is ESPON working as expected and do you think the programme is progressing at the desired rate?

14. What is your feeling about the way ESPON is managed and monitored by the CU/MA/MC and Commission?

**Contact details, if we need to contact you for clarification:**

Project Name:

Telephone:

**Thank you for participating in our survey.**

All answers given will be non-attributable and we will only ever be used at the aggregated level during the reporting process.

## Annex 8 Sounding Board Questionnaire

### Introduction

Loxley Consultancy has been commissioned by the ESPON Managing Authority to carry out an external on-going evaluation of the programme to provide the CU/MA with a detailed appraisal that:

- ✓ confirms the achievements of the current stage of programme implementation;
- ✓ evaluates the relevance, the effectiveness, the efficiency and the consistency of the programme; and
- ✓ provides suggestions for improvement and useful information for the stakeholders towards implementation and management process of the programme.

This questionnaire is being circulated to all ESPON sounding board members to gather information on programme operation. Your assistance in completing this questionnaire will facilitate our understanding of the programme from your perspective.

The questionnaire is intentionally free format and contains prompts to stimulate your contributions. Please add any other comments that you think will be useful in our review at the end.

If you have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact us via email.

Thank you for your valued participation, we would be grateful if you could complete and return the questionnaire by **15<sup>th</sup> December 2010** by email to [mk@loxleyconsultancy.com](mailto:mk@loxleyconsultancy.com)

### Application Process

1. How did you become aware of the call for expression of interest for the Knowledge Support System [EoI]?
2. Was adequate information about the KSS available at the EoI stage?
3. Did you receive help or information about the application process from national ESPON Contact Points (ECPs) or other organisations, and if so was it useful?
4. How could the application process be improved? Was it sufficiently transparent with appropriate feedback?

## Interaction Process

5. Briefly describe your procedures for communicating with the project? How many times have you met the project participants face to face? Have you met all the participants or only the lead partner?
6. How do you communicate with the CU and MC members?
7. Have you had any contact with sounding board members on other projects (to share experiences for example)?
8. How effect do you think the sounding board system has been? How might it be improved?

## Results

9. What have been the main subjects of your input to the project (for example on report drafting, methodology, indicators, information sources)?
10. Poor data availability seems to be a problem for most projects. Have you been able to help the project in this area? Do you have any views on how this problem might be addressed at the programme level?
11. Is inter-project networking and communication effective, what do you see as the most effective action?
12. Have the MC or CU requested input from you, as a sounding board member, other than your comments on the project?

## ESPON

13. Has your experience as a sounding board member given you new ideas on the effectiveness of the ESPON programme? Do you think the process for identifying themes for the projects is effective?

14. At present the sounding board system applies only to priority 1. Do you think it would be useful to extend it to priorities 2 or 3, or in any other way?

### Finally

15. ESPON is now in its second programme. What do you see as its main contribution to territorial policy development at EU level and in what way might it be developed in the future?

### Contact details, if we need to contact you for clarification:

Project Name:

Telephone:

### Thank you for participating in our survey.

All answers given will be non-attributable and we will only ever be used at the aggregated level during the reporting process.

## Annex 9 Communication Plan Survey

### Introduction

An online survey was initiated whereby individuals and organisations who have been involved in the ESPON Programme at some level were invited by email to provide opinions on ESPON Communication activities. The following single screen online questionnaire was published.

Thank you for agreeing to participate in our short survey, your answers are very important to us and will assist in improvement of ESPON services.

1. ESPON programme and its projects? How much do you know about the  
[\[select from option list\]](#)
2. ESPON Programme? Do you know the logo of the  
 Yes  No
3. ESPON Programme?  
- if OTHER please specify the means by which you were you made aware of the ESPON Programme How were you made aware of the  
[\[select from option list\]](#)  
  
[\[Free text box\]](#)
4. website? Are you familiar with the ESPON  
 Yes  No
5. website? How frequently do you use the  
[\[select from option list\]](#)
6. CONTENT QUALITY of the ESPON website? What is your perception of the  
[\[select from option list\]](#)
7. USABILITY of the ESPON website? What is your perception of the  
[\[select from option list\]](#)
8. participated in? Which ESPON events have you  
 Open Seminars  
 Info days on Calls  
 Workshops  
 Internal Seminars  
 Financial Manager Seminars  
 ESPON Contact Point Events  
[\[Free text box\]](#)  
- if you have participated how would you rate ESPON events in general?
9. about the opportunities offered by ESPON? Are you adequately informed  
[\[select from option list\]](#)
10. QUALITY of ESPON publications? What is your opinion of the  
[\[select from option list\]](#)
11. personal information: Please supply us with some  
[\[select from option list\]](#)

## Loxley Consultancy

- if OTHER please specify

12.

- if OTHER please specify

13.

concerning ESPON Communications?

[Free text box]

What country are you from?

[select from option list]

[Free text box]

Do you have any comments

[Free text box]

**Submit your Questionnaire**